PSR(IC)3

From:

BFC.OICPSR(IC)4

Sent:

Tuesday, 8 May 2012 10:00 a.m.

To:

CRIB.S2; JFNZ.J2

Cc:

CRIB. CRIB. AS2; JFNZ.J22L; JFNZ.J22-1

Subject:

RE: [SECRET_EXTERNAL RELEASE] JPEL Update

CLASSIFICATION: SECRET EXTERNAL RELEASE

Morning gents,

I've taken both the emails and consolidated them as below and provided answers/feedback to each question/statement in red.

In addition, following the points provided below and then considering:

- a. "NZPRT is a relatively non-kinetic AO...getting our JPELs through compared the other TF is likely to remain an issue"
- b. "If key INS within the NZ AO are not actually conducting kinetic events it is hard to justify a JPEL"

To the best of my knowledge NZPRT can't detain, capture and have any kinetic effect on any INS, therefore:

- What is the effect CRIB is seeking to achieve by continuing submit JPEL's, especially with the announced CRIB withdrawal and no NZ SOF element (that could action JPEL)?

I acknowledge the purpose of the JPEL is to make INS target-able by ISAF, and that we rely on other TF to action NZ JPEL (i.e. as happened with "PERISIL PERISIL PERISIL"), although I'm not sure whether that effect was enabled by WATEA liaison with FVEY partners to inform them of the JPEL or luck. But, if to make the INS target-able by other ISAF units is the purpose then no problems with the JPEL. Whilst WATEA was there we also had a means to employ an effect but since then we have had a key INS walk through a PRT VCP and another key INS (possibly) have a cup of tea in FOB Romero, which even had they been JPEL'd we still couldn't have done anything unless ANP decided to detain them on their "evidence".

Unless I hear to the contrary IFC will continue will JPEL process including those changes outlined below once we receive the example JPEL's on PSR(S)4

Regards, PSR(IC)3

OC

Intelligence Fusion Centre (Burnham)

DTELN: PSR(IC)3

PSR(IC)3

From: CRIB.S2 PSR(IC)3

Sent: Monday, 7 May 2012 9:37 p.m.

To: BFC.OIC; SWAN - JFNZ.J2 Cc: CRIB. PROFUSE PROFUSE; CRIB.AS2 Subject: JPEL Update In-confidence discussion with other non-NZ ISAF personnel, providing NZPRT advice on how to produce robust and compliant JPEL nominations. The advice consisted of substantive and procedural guidance. This also contains NZPRT response and commentary on this advice, including NZDF's intent to action this advice.

Morning to you all,

PSRICH

HQ

Brigade-level HQ

1. I have just returned for an PSR(S)1 S2 conference at PSR(S)1. I had the opportunity to speak with the PSR(S)1 JPEL manager. The Divisional Targeting board is placing submitted JPELs under even greater scrutiny than ever before. Because the NZPRT is a relatively non-kinetic AO getting our JPELs through compared the other TF is likely to remain an issue. He passed on the following points/ guidance to strengthen our case.

PSR(IC)4

- 3. The following JPELs have either expired or will do in the near future:
 - a. PSR(Sen)1
 - b. PSR(Sen)1
 - c. PSR(Sen)1

Brigade-Level HQ

- 4. The following have been submitted to PSR(S)1 but have failed to make the cut at the Div Targeting Board:
 - a. PSR(Sen)1
 - b. PSR(Sen)1
 - c. PSR(Sen)1
- 5. CRIB JPEL process as outlined is acknowledged however CRIB 20 is unable concurrently maintain JPELs and prov CS to the CRIB elms. It is therefore requested that the JPELs detailed above be updated and amended for submission as per the guidance prov by the PSR(S)1 JPEL manager. It is also acknowledged that the ability to do so is reliant on the frequency of reporting on each individual. It is also requested that residual effort be applied on IPSR(Sen)1 with a view to submitting a JPEL in the future. In the meantime I have also requested detailed feedback from Brigade-level HQ on each of the JPELs declined building on the general guidance already given. Ack. Will start working PSR(Sen)1 up although given his arrest and release, in order to get him JPEL we need at least one new C3 report with intent or action from the date of his release, which may be an issue.