

C09000121-PSR(C)1

Your Reference:

Our Reference: MET/1/1

FROM: PSR(C)1 C09000121-PSR(C)1
TO: Wellington
CC: Defence, EAB, New York, Brussels, Berlin, Geneva, Stockholm, Madrid, Riyadh, Washington, Canberra, Ottawa, Tehran
MFAT: ISED, MEA, LGL, AMER, AUS, DSP1, DSP3, DSP4, CEO
AGENCIES: SEEMail:
Manual: Defence MOD (Sec Def, IDR), Defence AC SCI, IDR, DDIS), P/S MFA, P/S Def DESG]

Special Delivery Instructions: RIYADH: PLEASE PASS TO DA

RE (C09003800-WLN): AFGHANISTAN: MILITARY TECHNICAL ARRANGEMENT

Summary

PSR(C)1 has not had any indication from the Afghan authorities that the provision against use of the death penalty in its current MOU on handling detainees has been affected by the constitutional change this year. PSR(C)1

Message

Further to our C09-120 on PSR(C)1, we have now confirmed with PSR(C)1

that it has not had any indication from the Afghan authorities that the provision in its current MOU on handling detainees has been affected by the constitutional change this year. Interestingly, said that when PSR(C)1 was negotiating its MTA in PSR(C)1, PSR(C)1

The wording agreed on ('not subject to execution of the death penalty') was the same as in the PSR(C)1 MOU, and according to was deliberately nuanced at.

2 PSR(C)1

She supposed PSR(C)1 would need to decide whether it could accept the kind of wording New Zealand had agreed on. PSR(C)1 also commented that any departure PSR(C)1 from the existing agreements PSR(C)1 could become an issue for ISAF as a whole. acknowledged

C09000121-~~-----~~

that

~~PSR(C)1~~

experience could be of wider interest to ~~PSR(C)1~~

but indicated that New Zealand's